

**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|

09/029, 688 03/03/98 MADEMANN

F P98.0162

TM02/0207

SCHIFF HARDIN & WAITE
PATENT DEPARTMENT
7100 SEARS TOWER
CHICAGO IL 60606-6473

EXAMINER

SOBUTKA, P

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2683

17

DATE MAILED: 02/07/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

| | | | |
|------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Advisory Action | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 09/029,688 | MADEMANN, FRANK | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |

Philip J. Sobutka

2683

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

THE REPLY FILED 16 January 2001 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

PERIOD FOR REPLY [check only a) or b)]

- a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
- b) In view of the early submission of the proposed reply (within two months as set forth in MPEP § 706.07 (f)), the period for reply expires on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, OR continues to run from the mailing date of the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).


1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. The proposed amendment(s) will be entered upon the timely submission of a Notice of Appeal and Appeal Brief with requisite fees.
3. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
 - (a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (see NOTE below);
 - (b) they raise the issue of new matter. (see Note below);
 - (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
 - (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: ____.

4. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): ____.
5. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) ____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
6. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.
7. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
8. For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claim(s) is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):
 - Claim(s) allowed: ____.
 - Claim(s) objected to: ____.
 - Claim(s) rejected: 1-13.
 - Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: ____.

9. The proposed drawing correction filed on ____ a) has b) has not been approved by the Examiner.
10. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). ____.
11. Other: _____

Continuation of 6. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicant's comments in the remarks section, namely that "a transmitted cell identifier is entered into the list, and that this transmitted cell identifier as well as ALL of those cell identifiers previously transmitted, become the list of retained cell identifiers" (emphasis added) are not commensurate with the scope of the claims. To elaborate, the essential difference between the instant invention and Boudreau, as discussed in the interview, relates to the construction of the paging list. Boudreau performs an analysis, whereby not all of the received cell identifiers would necessarily be included in the paging list. In contrast, the instant invention, as discussed in the interview and the remarks, retains all of the received cell identifiers as the paging list.



William G. Trost
Primary Examiner